

AGENDA

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF MEMBERS

OF

British Columbia Table Tennis Association (the "Association")

DATE: September 25, 2011
TIME: 1:00 p.m.
PLACE: #160 – 3820 Cessna Drive
Richmond, BC

1. CALL TO ORDER AND APPOINT SECRETARY

Vice-President Kevin Tsang acted as Chair and called the meeting to order. He welcomed members to the AGM and advised the meeting that the Board had appointed Joseph Li to act as Secretary of this meeting.

The Notice calling this Meeting was originally sent to all members of record on June 20, 2011 and the other requisite materials were sent on August 24, 2011 and September 9, 2011, respectively.

2. ESTABLISH PRESENCE OF QUORUM

CHAIR informed the meeting that a quorum for a meeting of members of this Association was 15 Active, Senior and Associate members present and a quorum was present. The Chair declared that this meeting regularly and duly called and ready for the transaction of business.

The Chair advised that registration was closed at 1.05 p.m. and only those members who had registered before the meeting and in possession of the yellow voting cards were eligible to vote.

In response to Alvin Ng's question about allowing members who arrived after the registration was closed to vote, the Chair agreed that there will be a reminder in next year's meeting agenda for members to arrive on time for the meeting in order to be eligible for voting.

3. INTRODUCTION TO PARLIAMENTARIAN AND ADOPTION OF RULES OF ORDER

The Chair announced that in view of the various contentious issues and numerous special resolutions to be discussed at this annual general meeting, the Board was concerned about how to ensure that the meeting would proceed smoothly.

He said the Board's objective in running this meeting is for all members, and not just a vocal minority, to have an opportunity to make their voices heard and that all communication will be respectful and for the benefit of the organization as a whole.

Therefore, the Board had invited Mr. Michael Blatchford, a lawyer who has experience in assisting societies such as our own. to hold fair, open and accountable meetings, to act as parliamentarian and legal advisor to this meeting.

Mr. Blatchford made a statement to the members with respect to his role as a Parliamentarian, which is to assist the Chair to move through the agenda, and to provide legal or procedural advice to the Chair or the members to assist the orderly conduct of the meeting. He urged members to remember that the goals of the Association are more important and take precedence over individual interests. He reminded members that although every member has a right to be heard, the group makes decisions by majority that may overrule the position of a minority and these decisions are binding on all members.

He encouraged members to be respectful of one another and reviewed a previously circulated set of rules of order (attached to these minutes) which, if adopted, will govern the meeting.

The Chair called for a motion to adopt the rules of order as explained by Mr. Blatchford.

Alvin Ng moved and Benjamin Wong seconded to adopt the rules of order.

Andrew Ng moved to amend the motion to adopt the proposed rules by inserting in section 10 thereof a paragraph that reads "All special resolutions will be voted on by secret ballots with two scrutineers to observe the counting of the ballots." The motion by Mr. Ng was seconded and put to a vote. The motion to amend was defeated by majority of votes cast.

No further discussion. The motion to adopt the rules of order as outlined by Mr. Blatchford was passed by a majority of votes cast and the rules were be in force for the remainder of the meeting.

4. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The Chair noted the proposed agenda for this meeting had been provided to all members at registration and asked the membership whether there was any objection to adopting it.

Alvin Ng asked if any new business, other than those items that were listed, could be brought up by members. Mr. Blatchford answered that certain new business not currently listed in the agenda could be raised under that portion of the meeting, but that new business that would by law require a special resolution could not be raised, except as a non-binding discussion item, since no notice of the special resolution had been provided.

With no objection from members, the Agenda was taken as approved.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF 2010 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Benjamin Wong motioned that the minutes be taken as read and approved. Seconded by Tommy Vuong. Motion was carried.

6. REPORT OF THE DIRECTORS

The Chair invited BCTTA president Amelia Ho to deliver the report on behalf of all the directors, a copy of which is attached to these minutes.

Andrew Ng took issue with Point 2 of Mrs. Ho's report that reads: "...the best record for BC in Canada Games history", noting in 2007, Team BC captured four of the five gold medals

in Whitehorse. Mrs. Ho clarified that she meant the whole BC, which had never previously captured a number 2 ranking.

Alvin Ng also requested clarification on the \$30,000 legal fees which was mentioned in the report, Treasurer Danny Lau explained that the Board was forced to hire lawyers because Wendy Wang engaged three separate lawyers and the Board was not able to handle her allegations on its own.

In response to Benjamin Wong's question about examining the lawyers' invoices, Mr. Lau said he could make an appointment to view the invoices at the BCTTA office.

Wendy Wang noted she had not hired any lawyers until June this year and she only did so after she couldn't find a way to get through to BCTTA with regard to her dispute with the Board. Danny Lau responded that the first lawyer she hired was Ambrose Ng, the second one was John Carlisle and the third one was and still is Michael Armstrong.

In presenting his report, Board member Chandra Madhosingh said he submitted his report every year as he felt he needed to be accountable to the membership, even though it is not mandatory for the directors to present their report individually. He wanted members to be aware of what work was being done and that was why he prepared his report.

7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 were presented to the members as part of the package of materials. The Association's treasurer, Mr. Danny Lau, presented a report on the financial statements, the auditor and the budget, a copy of which is attached to these minutes. He noted the financial statements were for discussion purpose and no approval by member was necessary.

Mr. Lau said it was brought to the Board's attention that the previous auditor Eric Heimburger was not in good standing with his professional organization. He pointed out to members that neither the Association's bylaws nor the *Society Act* require that the Association's annual financial statements be audited at all. Nor do the bylaws or the *Society Act* require any specific qualification of an auditor.

However, one of the Association's funders, Legacies Now, has informed the Association that their funding conditions require financial statements audited by a certified professional accountant in good standing with his or her professional organization. Mr. Lau added Mr Heimburger should not have signed the document as a CMA and when he was informed of the question raised by Wendy Wang, he resigned immediately.

As a result of this, the previous two sets of annual financial statements would need to be re-prepared by a properly qualified professional accountant. To rectify this situation, the board has contacted Mr. Terry Wan, a chartered accountant, to conduct a re-audit of the annual financial statements as required by Legacies Now.

Benjamin Wong raised the concern that an auditor could only be appointed at the AGM but in this case, Mr. Terry Wan had already been appointed to do the re-audit and without authorization from the membership.

Mr. Blatchford explained that the board's authority to contact and engage Terry Wan to conduct the re-audit flows from section 12.7 of the bylaws, which reads "The Board must fill all vacancies arising in the office of auditor between AGMs". This provision allows the board to appoint a replacement auditor where the current auditor has resigned or in other urgent circumstances between annual general meetings.

Mr. Lau said the estimated costs quoted by Mr. Terry Wan to do the re-audit is about \$6,000 for one year and he will be doing the re-audit for the two previous years. Mr. Lau noted after the audit is finished, which will be in about two months' time, the Board will call another general meeting for members to discuss the re-audited financial statements.

Andrew Ng wanted a clarification on the expenditure of \$1,601 for the School Program. Danny Lau said it was for the tables and robot that were given to the school at the association's cost.

In response to Alvin Ng's question about the criteria for receiving provincial funding, Marilyn Payne, Director of Sports with Legacies Now said there is no actual formula for how the funding is given out, some of that is just historical and certain dollars they just continue from year to year as long as programs are run properly. In terms of 2010-2011, BCTTA received money to increase participation in the sport. There is a requirement for the organizations to have a minimum of 1,500 members and that had been reached.

8. REPORT BY ZONE REPRESENTATIVES

Alvin Ng reported that Zone 6's former representative Stephen Wylie had decided not to continue with this position and he himself had been asked by several players from different communities on Vancouver Island to be their zone rep to help them out for any tournaments. Mr. Ng reported that they currently have an Island League, composed of various Island communities, and an Island Championship is coming up in October, which is expected to be well attended. However, he will be leaving the Island in the coming year and he will be asking someone else to take over and he hopes that a national championship will be held on the island in the next four to five years.

As a follow-up point, Andrew Ng asked the Board to consider ways to get more people interested in the sport in the different zones.

Board member David Gatiss explained that efforts had been made in the past four years but with little success in regions such as northern and eastern BC and outreach work by the directors to those places had proved to be difficult.

9. APPOINTMENT OF AUDITORS

The Chair said with the resignation of Mr. Heimburger because of the difficult issues regarding his accreditation, the Board proposed that Mr. Terry Wan be appointed auditor of the Association to hold office until the close of the next annual general meeting.

By motion previously submitted to the board and the membership in writing, Wendy Wang moved, seconded by Tommy Vuong, for the appointment of Reid Hurst Nagy Inc. to be the auditor for the Association with immediate effect.

Alvin Ng asked Wendy Wang if she was nominating an accounting firm or a particular accountant. Ms. Wang's replied that she was moving to appoint a firm, Reid Hurst Nagy, Inc. Alvin then asked did she know which accountant of that firm will be in charge of our auditing. Wendy Wang said she did not know. Alvin asked the reason she chose Reid Hurst Nagy, Inc. to be the auditor. Wendy Wang replied that that company was chosen at random.

No further discussion, the motion was voted on and was defeated by a majority of votes cast.

A motion was made and seconded to appoint Terry Wan as the accountant and auditor for the Association to hold office until the close of the next annual general meeting or until a successor is appointed. No further discussion. The motion was passed by a majority of votes cast.

10. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Chair announced that David Gatiss, Thorsten Gohl and Kevin Tsang are entering the second year of their term and they will continue as directors for the coming year:

The term of office for the following directors will conclude following the close of this meeting, unless such directors were re-elected at this time.

1. Amelia Ho
2. Danny Lau
3. Bernard Lau
4. Chandra Madhosingh
5. Tony Xu

In addition, Karol Ziduliak and Alex Chow tendered their resignations to the Board in the past year. In accordance with bylaw 6.8, the Board appointed Kam Tang and Eric Chio as directors to fill the remaining term of Mr. Ziduliak and Mr. Chow.

The Chair noted the nominations committee appointed for this year had recommended the following slate of directors that had been duly nominated and would stand for election for a term of two years.

1. – Amelia Ho
2. – Danny Lau
3. – Bernard Lau
4. – Chandra Madhosingh
5. -- Tony Xu
6. -- William Chan

Because the number of nominee is the same as open positions, these nominees were automatically elected according to bylaws 6.2(7).

He said other motions and resolutions regarding directors would be dealt with as new business, the next item on the agenda.

Andrew Ng and Benjamin Wong proposed that the names of the nominees for directors should be provided in the AGM notice in order to avoid confusion, citing the misspelling of Eric Chio's name. The Board agreed to consider this in next year's AGM. Alvin Ng also suggested the nomination forms should be sent out earlier each year.

9. OTHER BUSINESS

The Chair announced that there was a great deal of special business for this meeting, some of which were proposed by the Board and the majority of which by a group of members. As such, the items would be dealt with as outlined in the agenda one at a time.

Bylaw Amendments:

The first item of new business was the amendment of the Association's bylaws.

The Chair noted that there are multiple special resolutions from different groups that relate to the amendment of the Association's bylaws and that the relationship of these different resolutions was confusing.

First – there is a special resolution, proposed by the board, to amend the following provisions of the bylaws:

Section 2.1, 2.3, 2.6, 4.1(1), 5.2(1), 5.7, 5.8, 6.2(1), 6.2(5), 6.2(10) 6.3, 6.4, 6.8, 7.1(2) and 7.2, and to add a new section 7.8. For the sake of clarity, the Chair adopted the term the "Board Proposal" to cover these proposed amendments.

The Chair noted that the board had since noticed several instances where the language used in the Board Proposal does not accurately reflect the actual intent of the amendment and promised that these would be raised at the appropriate time.

Secondly, there are several special resolutions proposed by Ms. Wendy Wang which, if adopted, would alter the language of the Board Proposal. Ms. Wang has previously moved in writing that the Board Proposal be divided into multiple special resolutions. One for all the proposed amendments of the Board Proposal which she agrees with, and a separate resolution for each amendment in the Board Proposal that she does not agree with.

Lastly, there is one special resolution to amend the bylaws proposed directly by Ms. Wang. This special resolution also deals with section 2.3 of the bylaws, specifically, section 2.3(2). Accordingly, the Board proposes that it deals with this matter at the same time it reviews the Board Proposal regarding section 2.3.

It was noted that apart from the resolutions from Ms. Wang, the Board did not receive any other proposed amendments from the membership. Because proposed amendments to the bylaws must be sent out before the AGM, there can be no changes other than those proposed by the Board, those proposed by Ms. Wang or a mix of the two."

The Board agreed that dividing the Board proposal into several special resolutions was an excellent idea. The motion, moved by Amelia Ho and seconded by Chang Poh, to divide the special resolution into the following sub-resolutions as follows, was carried by a majority of votes cast:

- (a) Amendments proposed in the Board Proposal and not challenged: sections 2.1, 2.6, 4.1(1), 5.2(1), 5.7, 5.8, 6.2(5), 6.4, 6.8, 7.1(2) and 7.2;
- (b) special resolution to amend bylaw 2.3 (including the proposals made by Ms. Wang regarding 2.3(2) and 2.3(5);
- (c) special resolution to amend bylaw 6.2(1);
- (d) special resolution amend bylaw 6.3; and
- (e) special resolution insert a new bylaw 7.8.

Special Resolution (a)

The Chair asked Mr. David Gatiss to explain the proposed amendments that were not challenged, namely: sections 2.1, 2.6, 4.1(1), 5.2(1), 5.7, 5.8, 6.2(5), 6.4, 6.8, 7.1(2) and 7.2.

Mr. Gatiss explained the essence of the change proposed by the Board Proposal for each of the above sections.

Benjamin Wong expressed appreciation at the Board's efforts to simplify the amendments, saying he approved of the procedure being adopted.

Mr. Wong moved and Dr. Chandra Madhosingh seconded the motion to adopt the special resolution to amend bylaws 2.1, 2.6, 4.1(1), 5.2(1), 5.7, 5.8, 6.2(5), 6.4, 6.8, 7.1(2) and 7.2 as set out in the Board proposal

The motion was carried by a majority of votes cast and those provisions of the bylaws will be amended as per the Board Proposal.

The Chair announced that the meeting would now review each of the special resolutions set out in (b), (c), (d) and (e), above one at a time. Hearing no objection, the Chair invited Mr. Blatchford to preside over the next portion of the meeting which would benefit from his experience with complicated bylaw amendments.

Special Resolution (b)

Mr. Blatchford began by explaining the proposed amendment of bylaw 2.3, which relates to the Board's power to determine matters of membership.

Section 2.3(2), as proposed by the Board, reads as follows:

"The Board may in its sole discretion approve, postpone, or refuse an application for membership, and determine the category of membership an applicant is eligible for."

Two resolutions proposed by Ms. Wang pointed out some unintended errors in the language used in the Board Proposal for section 2.3(2) and 2.3(5).

Mr. Blatchford noted with respect to 2.3(2), it was not the Board's intent to grant themselves the power to determine what category of membership (being voting and non-voting) that a member over age 19 will be. It is the choice of any member over age 19 whether he or she will be voting or non-voting in a given year. The Board agreed completely with this particular amendment proposed by Ms. Wang, which was to delete the words "and determine the category of membership an applicant is eligible for" and the Board thanked her for catching this unintentional language.

The meeting was asked if any member wished to object to deleting the words "and determine the category of membership an applicant is eligible for" from the proposed amendment?

Hearing no objection to making that change to the proposed amendment, the proposed amendment to 2.3(2) was amended to read "*The Board may in its sole discretion approve, postpone, or refuse an application for membership*".

By separate resolution previously submitted in writing, Ms. Wang also proposed that the Board add the following additional words to the end of section 2.3(2):

“Where the Board postpones or refuses an application for membership, it must provide written reasons to the applicant for doing so, and the applicant may thereafter appeal the decision in accordance with the appeal procedure available to members. The Board must, in its reasons, notify the applicant of the availability of appeal”

The motion to add that language to the end of 2.3(2) was moved by Amelia Ho and seconded by Bernard Lau.

Vincent Tsang further moved that the words *“The decision of the appeal committee will be final and binding”* be added to the end of the 2.3(2). Motioned seconded by Eddie To. This motion was carried by a majority of votes cast. The language proposed by Ms. Wang to be added to section 2.3(2) will now read as follows:

“Where the Board postpones or refuses an application for membership, it must provide written reasons to the applicant for doing so, and the applicant may thereafter appeal the decision in accordance with the appeal procedure available to members. The Board must, in its reasons, notify the applicant of the availability of appeal. The decision of the appeal committee will be final and binding.”

The motion to add Ms. Wang’s proposed language, as amended by Mr. Tsang’s addition was approved by more than 75% of votes cast and section 2.3(2) will be amended to read:

“The Board may in its sole discretion approve, postpone, or refuse an application for membership. Where the Board postpones or refuses an application for membership, it must provide written reasons to the applicant for doing so, and the applicant may thereafter appeal the decision in accordance with the appeal procedure available to members. The Board must, in its reasons, notify the applicant of the availability of appeal. The decision of the appeal committee will be final and binding.”

Section 2.3(5), as proposed by the Board Proposal, reads as follows:

“Except where determined by the Act or the bylaws, the privileges and responsibilities of members of each category, including the events and competitions which they may enter, must be determined by resolution of the Board.”

Ms. Wang had submitted a special resolution to delete the words *“including the events and competitions which they may enter”* from the proposed amendment, noting that it is not for the Board to determine which players can and cannot compete in events. The Board agreed it is not the Board who determines who can compete in what events and competitions. That is determined by the TABLE TENNIS CANADA rankings, which are merely reported to the Board by TABLE TENNIS CANADA for the Board to pass on to the appropriate members. Therefore the Board agreed with the change proposed by Ms. Wang.

Mr. Blatchford asked if there was any member who objected to deleting the words *“including the events and competitions which they may enter”* from the proposed amendment to section 2.3(2).

Hearing no objection, he announced that the proposed amendment to 2.3(5) will now read:

“Except where determined by the Act or the bylaws, the privileges and responsibilities of members of each category must be determined by resolution of the Board.”

The amendment to 2.3(5) having been made, the motion to adopt 2.3(5), as amended was approved by more than 75% of votes cast.

Special Resolution (c)

With regard to the proposed amendment to 6.2(1), the Board felt that 11 was too many directors in the current situation.

The resolution proposed by Ms. Wang correctly points out that the words “elected at the AGM” were deleted without reason from the proposed amendment. The Board agreed that there was no reason why these words were left out and agreed with the motion to include them in the proposed amendment.

Benjamin Wong disagreed with the proposal to decrease the number, saying it should be increased instead. Amelia Ho explained that Board members are busy with their own schedules and it was difficult to get all 11 directors to show up at meetings. David Gatiss added it was a struggle for the Board to even have 11 members at meetings and since he joined the Board, he had not had a meeting with all 11 directors attending.

The motion to decrease the number of directors from 11 to 9 was moved by David Gatiss and seconded by Danny Lau.

The motion was defeated and the bylaws will not be amended as proposed in relation to section 6.2(1).

Special Resolution (d)

Section 6.3(2)(b) relates to the nomination of directors.

Mr. Blatchford explained that the present section provides that the nominations committee must give 90 days notice to all members of the number of spaces to be filled and the date by which nominations must be received. The amendment proposed by the Board Proposal would change this time period from 90 days to 60 days notice to the members.

Motion moved by Len Lukey-Ott, second by Alvin Ng, to adopt the amendment proposed by the Board Proposal.

The special resolution previously proposed in writing by Ms. Wang was to alter the Board proposal so as to require a 75 days notice period, rather than 60 days. The Board had no particular concern with 75 days but questioned why it was significantly different from 90 or 60, being exactly in between.

The motion to adopt the alteration proposed by Ms. Wang was defeated.

The motion to adopt the amendment proposed by the Board Proposal (60 days) was also defeated. Therefore, bylaw 6.3(2) remained unchanged at 90 days.

Mr. Blatchford then noted how Ms. Wang also pointed out that sections 6.3(5) and 6.3(6) were deleted from the bylaws without reason.

He explained this deletion was an unintentional drafting error in the amendments proposed by the Board. The Board thanked Ms. Wang for pointing it out. Accordingly, the Board withdrew that part of its proposal that unintentionally deletes sections 6.3(5) and 6.3(6) so that those provisions will remain in the bylaws going forward.

With no objection, the provisions of section 6.3(5) and 6.3(6) now remain in place, exactly as currently worded.

Special Resolution (e)

In the Board Proposal, the Board proposed adding a new section 7.8 to the current bylaws. The new section would read as follows:

"1) The members of the Executive Committee are the President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer, and such other persons as the Board may appoint to it.

2) Subject to the direction of the Board, the Executive Committee may manage, or supervise the management of, the affairs of the Society between Board meetings."

The resolution proposed previously by Ms. Wang in writing pointed out that the executive committee should not consist of persons other than members of the Association.

The Board agreed with this position. In fact, it was the Board's intent that the executive committee created by section 7.8 consist only of the President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer and such other DIRECTORS as the Board appoints to it. An executive committee is always a committee of directors, not of members and certainly not of outsiders. The Board agreed that the word "persons" was too broad and should be replaced with the word "directors".

A motion to amend the Board Proposal in relation to new section 7.8(1) was passed by more than 75% of the votes cast, so that the language of 7.8(1) will read as follows:

1) The members of the Executive Committee are the President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer, and such other directors as the Board may appoint to it."

With that amendment having been accepted, Benjamin Wong moved to adopt section 7.8 as amended, seconded by David Gatiss.

The motion to adopt the new section 7.8, as amended was approved by more than 75% of votes cast and a new section 7.8, as amended, will be adopted into the bylaws.

Other Business

Ms. Wendy Wang was asked if she would wish to continue the various resolutions and special resolutions that she had previously submitted to the board in writing. Ms. Wang indicated she would withdraw Motions No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 16.

However, Ms. Wang was determined to proceed with Motion 13, namely for the Association to adopt an ordinary resolution declaring itself a Reporting Society under the *Society Act*. Benjamin Wong seconded the motion, saying such a move would be beneficial to the future of the Association and its accountability to members.

Mr. Blatchford offered an explanation of what a reporting society is and what its obligations are under the *Society Act*. He noted that, in his experience, the Association was not the kind of organization that was typically designated as a reporting society.

Amelia Ho added that the Association is consulting with Legacies Now on the issue and she understands that no association within Sport BC is a reporting society and the costs of becoming a reporting society and in having an annual full audit is a factor in the decision.

The motion was voted on and defeated by a majority of votes cast.

New business:

Alvin Ng proposed a motion to set membership fees for the Voting Members and Non-Voting Members at \$35 and \$20, respectively; and Board directors would also be required to pay membership fees. He argued that there was nothing in the bylaws that exempt Board directors from paying membership fees so they should pay like other members. The motion, seconded by Andrew Ng was discussed and defeated by a majority of votes cast.

Wendy Wang asked David Gatiss if all of the players in the summer league were members of the Association because she approached some of them to encourage them to come to the AGM, and among them at least one said he is not a member. David Gatiss said as far as he knew, all participants in the league are members but he would like to know if some might have fallen through the cracks and he was aware of one case in a previous year.

Alvin Ng raised the issue of whether the board might consider making a change to the bylaws to allow mail in ballots in the next AGM.

Benjamin Wong asked if the dispute between the eight junior players and the Association had been legally settled. Danny Lau said the dispute is still in the hands of the court which will determine the terms and conditions of the settlement, adding that the next court day is in February 2012.

Benjamin Wong noted he only began to get involved in this year's AGM because he was not happy with how the eight junior players had been treated. His view was echoed by Andrew Ng who felt that so much resources had been spent to train the 8 Juniors but ended up not being allowed to play in sanctioned tournaments including Provincial BC Secondary Schools Championships. Dr. Madhosingh responded by explaining BCTTA needs to show accountability and the issue is that the BC Secondary School Tournament is a sanctioned one and it has to uphold its bylaws to be fair to all. And for year 2012, the same tournament will be held as an unsanctioned event.

Alvin Ng also raised his concern about whether the information that certain members are not in good standing are disclosed to other parties. He said he was aware that USATT disclosed the fact that one of its players was not in good standing. He wished to know the list of previously banned athletes.

Danny Lau replied that it is necessary to obtain permission from each of them before their names can be disclosed.

The Chair asked for a motion to set the date for the next AGM at September 23, 2012. The motion was moved by Eddie To and seconded by Benjamin Wong. All in favour and the motion was carried.

10. CONCLUSION OF MEETING

There being no further business, the Chair declared the 2011 AGM concluded.